arXiv:1501.02545v2 [quant-ph] 31 Oct 2015

The Decomposition Properties of Quantum Discord

Sunho Kim

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, PR China

Junde Wu

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, PR China

---

Abstract

The quantum discord was introduced by Ollivier, Zurek, Henderson and Vedral as an indicator of the degree of quantumness of mixed states. In this paper, we give out the decomposition condition of quantum discords. Moreover, we show that under the condition, the quantum correlations between the quantum systems can be captured completely by the entanglement measure.

Key words. Quantum state; quantum measurement; quantum discord.

PACS. 03.65.-w; 03.65.Ca; 03.67.-a

* **The corresponding author**: Junde Wu, Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China, E-mail: wjd@zju.edu.cn

1 Introduction and preliminaries

In this article, we always assume that $\mathcal{H}_A$, $\mathcal{H}_B$, $\mathcal{K}_A$ and $\mathcal{K}_B$ are finite dimensional complex Hilbert spaces. Let $\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{K}_A)$ be the set of all linear operators from $\mathcal{H}_A$ to $\mathcal{K}_A$. A quantum state ρ of some quantum system, described by $\mathcal{H}_A$, is a positive semi-definite operator of trace one, in particular, for each unit vector $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A$, the operator $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ is said to be a *pure state*. We can identify the pure state $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ with the unit vector $|\psi\rangle$. The set of all quantum states on $\mathcal{H}_A$ is denoted by $\mathsf{D}(\mathcal{H}_A)$.

A quantum measurement is a set $\{M_x\}_{x\in\Sigma}$ of positive operators indexed by some classical label x corresponding to the classical outcomes of the measurement. These operators form a resolution of the identity on the Hilbert space of the system that is being measured [1, 2, 3]:

$$\forall x : M_x \geq 0, \ \sum_x M_x = \mathbb{1},$$

together with $\{A_x\}_{x\in\Sigma}$ such that $M_x = A_x^\dagger A_x$. In particularly, when $\{M_x = \pi_x\}$ is a set of orthogonal projection operators, then $\{M_x = \pi_x\}$ is said to be a von Neumann measurement.

Given a quantum state $\rho \in D(\mathcal{H}_A)$, the quantum measurement $\{M_x\}$ induces a probability distribution $p = \{p_x\}_{x\in\Sigma}$, and the conditional state $\rho_{A|x}$ given outcome x and the probability of this outcome read:

$$\rho_{A|x} = p(x)^{-1} A_x \rho A_x^\dagger, \quad p(x) = \mathrm{Tr}(M_x \rho).$$

However, the following famous theorem told us that each quantum measurement can be seen as a von Neumann measurement on a larger quantum system, that is:

Theorem 1.1. *(**Neumark extension theorem**, [4, 5]) Let $M = \{M_x\}_{x\in\Sigma}$ be a quantum measurement on $\mathcal{H}_A$ with $|\Sigma| = n$. Then there exist a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_E$ with dimension $\dim \mathcal{H}_E = n$, a pure state $|\epsilon_0\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_E$, a von Neumann measurement $\{\pi_x^E\}$ on $\mathcal{H}_E$, and a unitary operator U on $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$ such that for each quantum state $\rho \in D(\mathcal{H}_A)$,*

$$A_x \rho A_x^\dagger = \mathrm{Tr}_E(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \pi_x^E \ U \ \rho \otimes |\epsilon_0\rangle\langle\epsilon_0| \ U^\dagger \ \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \pi_x^E),$$

where $M_x = A_x^\dagger A_x$.

It follows from the theorem that ([4])

$$M_x = A_x^\dagger A_x = \langle\epsilon_0| U^\dagger \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \pi_x^E U |\epsilon_0\rangle,$$

and the probability of the outcome x read

$$p_x = \mathrm{Tr}(M_x \rho) = \mathrm{Tr}(U^\dagger \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \pi_x^E U \ \rho \otimes |\epsilon_0\rangle\langle\epsilon_0|).$$

Let $p = \{p_a\} \in \mathbb{R}^\Sigma$ be a probability distribution, the *Shannon entropy* $H(p)$ of p is defined by [6]

$$H(p) = -\sum_{a\in\Sigma} p_a \log_2(p_a).$$

For each quantum state $\rho \in \mathsf{D}(\mathcal{H}_A)$, the quantum analog of the Shannon entropy is *von Neumann entropy*

$$S(\rho) = -\mathrm{Tr}(\rho \log_2(\rho)).$$

An equivalent expression of von Neumann entropy is ([4], Chapter 6.1)

$$S(\rho) = \min_{\{|\psi_i\rangle, p_i\}} H(\{p_i\}),$$

where the minimum is over all pure state convex decompositions of ρ.

Moreover, a pure state convex decomposition $\{|\psi_i^0\rangle, p_i^0\}$ of ρ minimizes $\{H(\{p_i\}) : \{|\psi_i\rangle, p_i\}\}$ if and only if it is a spectral decomposition of ρ.

The identity can be generalized to get

$$S(\rho) \leq H(\{\eta_i\}) + \sum_i \eta_i S(\rho_i) \tag{1.1}$$

for any quantum state ensemble $\{\rho_i, \eta_i\}$, where $\{\rho_i, \eta_i\}$ is a convex decomposition of ρ. Moreover, it has equality if and only if the quantum states $\{\rho_i\}$ have mutual orthogonal supports.

Let us consider two quantum systems $\mathcal{H}_A$ and $\mathcal{H}_B$, $\rho_{AB} \in D(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$. In quantum information theory, the quantum mutual information

$$I_{A:B}(\rho_{AB}) = S(\rho_A) + S(\rho_B) - S(\rho_{AB})$$

of the quantum state ρ_{AB} is regarded as a measure of the total correlations between quantum systems $\mathcal{H}_A$ and $\mathcal{H}_B$ when the quantum system $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ in the quantum state ρ_{AB}, where $\rho_A = \mathrm{Tr}_B(\rho_{AB})$ and $\rho_B = \mathrm{Tr}_A(\rho_{AB})$ are the reduced states of ρ_{AB}.

If we denote $S(\rho_{B|A}) = S(\rho_{AB}) - S(\rho_A)$, then the quantum mutual information can be written in the following form:

$$I_{A:B}(\rho_{AB}) = S(\rho_B) - S(\rho_{B|A}).$$

Ones can prove that $I_{A:B}(\rho_{AB}) \geq 0$ and $I_{A:B}(\rho) = 0$ if and only if ρ_{AB} is a product state, that is $\rho_{AB} = \rho_A \otimes \rho_B$.

Given a von Neumann measurement $\{\pi_x^A\}$ on quantum system $\mathcal{H}_A$, let us defined a conditional entropy on quantum system $\mathcal{H}_B$ by

$$S_{B|A}(\rho_{AB}|\{\pi_x^A\}) = \sum_i \eta_x S(\rho_{B|x}),$$

where

$$\rho_{B|x} = \eta_x^{-1} \mathrm{Tr}_A(\pi_x^A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B \rho_{AB}), \quad \eta_x = \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_x^A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B \rho_{AB}).$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{J}\{\pi_x^A\}(\rho_{AB}) = S(\rho_B) - S_{B|A}(\rho_{AB}|\{\pi_x^A\}).$$

In order to take a quantity which does not depend on the von Neumann measurements, ones define

$$\mathcal{J}^{v.N.}_{B|A}(\rho_{AB}) = \max_{\{\pi_x^A\}} \mathcal{J}\{\pi_x^A\}(\rho_{AB}) = S(\rho_B) - \min_{\{\pi_x^A\}}\{\sum_x \eta_x S(\rho_{B|x})\},$$

it is interpreted as a measure of classical correlations between the quantum systems $\mathcal{H}_A$ and $\mathcal{H}_B$ when the quantum system $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ in the quantum state ρ_{AB}.

In general, $I_{A:B}(\rho_{AB})$ may differ $\mathcal{J}^{v.N.}_{B|A}(\rho_{AB})$. Their difference

$$\mathcal{D}^{v.N.}_A(\rho_{AB}) = I_{A:B}(\rho_{AB}) - \mathcal{J}^{v.N.}_{B|A}(\rho_{AB}) = S(\rho_A) - S(\rho_{AB}) + \min_{\{\pi_x^A\}}\{\sum_x \eta_x S(\rho_{B|x})\}$$

is interpreted as a measure of quantum correlations and is called quantum discord ([4], Chapter 10.1 and [7, 8, 9]). The minimum is achieved for some rank-one orthogonal projection measurement operators $\{\pi_x^A\}$.

Similarly, given a quantum measurement $\{M_z^A\}$ on $\mathcal{H}_A$, let us defined a conditional entropy on $\mathcal{H}_B$ by

$$S_{B|A}(\rho_{AB}|\{M_z^A\}) = \sum_z \mu_z S(\rho_{B|z}),$$

where

$$\rho_{B|z} = \mu_z^{-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}_A(M_z^A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B \rho_{AB}) \ \ , \ \ \mu_z = \mathrm{Tr}(M_z^A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B \rho_{AB}).$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{J}\{M_z^A\}(\rho_{AB}) = S(\rho_B) - S_{B|A}(\rho_{AB}|\{M_z^A\}),$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_{B|A}(\rho_{AB}) = \max_{\{M_z^A\}} \mathcal{J}\{M_z^A\}(\rho_{AB}) = S(\rho_B) - \min_{\{M_z^A\}}\{\sum_z \mu_z S(\rho_{B|z})\}.$$

The corresponding discord $\mathcal{D}_A(\rho_{AB})$ is defined by ([4])

$$\mathcal{D}_A(\rho_{AB}) = I_{A:B}(\rho_{AB}) - \mathcal{J}_{B|A}(\rho_{AB}) = S(\rho_A) - S(\rho_{AB}) + \min_{\{M_z^A\}}\{\sum_z \mu_z S(\rho_{B|z})\}.$$

As in the case of von Neumann measurements, the minimum is achieved for some rank-one measurement operators $\{M_z^A\}$.

That $\mathcal{D}_A(\rho_{AB}) \leq \mathcal{D}^{v.N.}_A(\rho_{AB})$ is clear. On the other hand, by Neumark extension theorem (1.1) and note that $M_z^A = \langle \epsilon_0 | U^\dagger \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \pi_z^E U | \epsilon_0 \rangle$, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_A(\rho_{AB}) = \mathcal{D}^{v.N.}_{AE}(\rho_{AB} \otimes |\epsilon_0\rangle\langle\epsilon_0|). \tag{1.2}$$

Given a pure state $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_{AB} \in \mathsf{D}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$, then $S(\rho_A) = S(\rho_B)$ [10]. The entanglement $E(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_{AB})$ of $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_{AB}$ is defined by

$$E(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_{AB}) = S(\rho_A) = S(\rho_B).$$

For any quantum state $\rho_{AB} \in D(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$, the *entanglement of formation* $E_f(\rho_{AB})$ of ρ_{AB} is defined by ([10], [11]):

$$E_f(\rho_{AB}) = \min_{\{|\psi_i\rangle, p_i\}} \sum_i p_i E(|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|),$$

where $\{|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|, p_i\}_{i\in\Sigma}$ is the pure state convex decomposition of ρ_{AB}.

For any pure state $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_{AB} \in D(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$, we have ([10, 11])

$$\mathcal{D}_A(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_{AB}) = \mathcal{D}_A^{v.N.}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_{AB}) = E_f(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_{AB}) = S(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_A) = S(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_B). \tag{1.3}$$

Let $\rho_{AB} \in \mathsf{D}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$, $\rho_{AB} = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i |u_i\rangle\langle u_i|$ be its spectral decomposition. Generally, ones have ([4])

$$0 \le \min_{\{M_z^A\}} \{\sum_z \mu_z S(\rho_{B|z})\} \le \min_{\{\pi_x^A\}} \{\sum_x \eta_x S(\rho_{B|x})\} \le S(\rho_{AB}).$$

Moreover,

$$\min_{\{\pi_x^A\}} \{\sum_x \eta_x S(\rho_{B|x})\} = S(\rho_{AB})$$

if and only if $\sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_{i|y} |\phi_{iy}\rangle\langle\phi_{iy}|$ is the spectral decomposition of $\rho_{B|y}$, where

$$p_{i|y} = \frac{p_i \operatorname{Tr}(\pi_y^A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B |u_i\rangle\langle u_i|)}{\eta_y}, \qquad |\phi_{iy}\rangle\langle\phi_{iy}| = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}_A(\pi_y^A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B |u_i\rangle\langle u_i|)}{\operatorname{Tr}(\pi_y \otimes \mathbb{1}_B |u_i\rangle\langle u_i|)},$$

the von Neumann measurement $\{\pi_y^A\}$ minimizes the conditional entropy $\sum_x \eta_x S(\rho_{B|x})$, and $\operatorname{Tr}_B(|u_i\rangle\langle u_j|) = 0$ when $i \neq j$ and $p_i p_j > 0$.

In this paper, we give out the decomposition condition of quantum discords. Moreover, we show that under the condition, the quantum correlations between the quantum systems can be captured completely by the entanglement measure.

2 Decomposition of quantum discord

Firstly, we prove the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\rho_{AB} \in D(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$, $\rho_{AB} = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i |u_i\rangle\langle u_i|$ be its spectral decomposition. Then*

$$\min_{\{\pi_x^A\}} \{\sum_x \eta_x S(\rho_{B|x})\} = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

if and only if for any two $i, j \in \Sigma$ and $i \neq j$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A(|u_i\rangle\langle u_j|) = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. If for any two $i, j \in \Sigma$ and $i \neq j$, we have $\mathrm{Tr}_A(|u_i\rangle\langle u_j|) = 0$, then $\mathrm{Tr}_B(|u_i\rangle\langle u_i|)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_B(|u_j\rangle\langle u_j|)$ are orthogonal, it implies that there are subspaces $\mathcal{V}_i^A, \mathcal{V}_j^A \subseteq \mathcal{H}_A$ such that $|u_i\rangle\langle u_i| \in \mathsf{D}(\mathcal{V}_i^A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$ and $\mathcal{V}_i^A \subseteq (\mathcal{V}_j^A)^\perp$. Let π_i^A be the orthogonal projector onto $\mathcal{V}_i^A$ for any i, and $\pi_m^A = \mathbb{1}_A - \{\sum_{i\in\Sigma} \pi_i^A\}$, then

$$\pi_i^A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B \, \rho_{AB} \, \pi_i^A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B = p_i |u_i\rangle\langle u_i|.$$

Therefore, we have that

$$0 \le \min_{\{\pi_x^A\}} \{\sum_x \eta_x S(\rho_{B|x})\} \le \sum_{i\in\Sigma\cup\{m\}} p_i \min_{\{\pi_k^{(i)}\}} \{\sum_{k\in\Sigma_i} \eta_k^{(i)} S(\rho_{B|k}^{(i)})\},$$

where $\sum_{k\in\Sigma_i} \pi_k^{(i)} = \pi_i^A$, $\eta_k^{(i)} = \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_k^{(i)} \otimes \mathbb{1}_B |u_i\rangle\langle u_i|)$ and $\rho_{B|k}^{(i)} = (\eta_k^{(i)})^{-1} \mathrm{Tr}_A(\pi_k^{(i)} \otimes \mathbb{1}_B |u_i\rangle\langle u_i|)$, $\{\pi_k^{(i)}\}_{k\in\Sigma_i, i\in\Sigma\cup\{m\}}$ is also a von Neumann measurement. On the other hand, for any pure state, it follows from the Schmidt decomposition that states $\{\rho_{B|k}^{(i)}\}$ are pure states and thus have zero entropy. Therefore, we have that

$$\min_{\{\pi_x^A\}} \{\sum_x \eta_x S(\rho_{B|x})\} = 0.$$

If there exist $i \neq j$ and $p_i, p_j > 0$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_A(|u_i\rangle\langle u_j|) \neq 0$, then for any von Neumann measurement $\{\pi_x^A\}_x$ on $\mathcal{H}_A$, there exists at least a π_x^A such that $\mathrm{Tr}_A(\pi_x^A \otimes \mathbb{1}_A |u_k\rangle\langle u_k|) \neq 0$ for $k = i, j$. Also, note that $\sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i |u_i\rangle\langle u_i|$ is the spectral decomposition of ρ, we have that $\langle v_x^{(i)} | v_x^{(j)} \rangle = 0$, where $\mathrm{Tr}_A(\pi_x \otimes \mathbb{1}_A |u_k\rangle\langle u_k|) = \eta_x^{(k)} |v_x^{(k)}\rangle\langle v_x^{(k)}|$ for $k = i, j$. It is easy to show that $S(\rho_{B|x}) > 0$. This contradicts (2.1). ☐

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\rho_{AB} \in D(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$, $\rho_{AB} = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i |u_i\rangle\langle u_i|$ be its spectral decomposition. If*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A(|u_i\rangle\langle u_j|) = 0$$

for any two $i \neq j$ and $p_i, p_j > 0$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_A(\rho_{AB}) = \mathcal{D}_A^{v.N.}(\rho_{AB}) = E_f(\rho_{AB}) = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i \mathcal{D}_A^{v.N.}(|u_i\rangle\langle u_i|). \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. If $\mathrm{Tr}_A(|u_i\rangle\langle u_j|) = 0$ for any two $i \neq j$ and $p_i, p_j > 0$, note that the property of entropy, we know that

$$S(\rho_A) = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i S(\rho_{A|i}) + H(\{p_i\}),$$

where $\rho_{A|i} = \mathrm{Tr}_B(|u_i\rangle\langle u_i|)$. And, it follows from the spectral decomposition $\rho_{AB} = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i|u_i\rangle\langle u_i|$ that $S(\rho_{AB}) = H(\{p_i\})$. Therefore, by the definition of quantum discord, equality (1.3) and Theorem 2.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_A^{v.N.}(\rho_{AB}) &= S(\rho_A) - S(\rho_{AB}) + \min_{\{\pi_x^A\}}\{\sum_x \eta_x S(\rho_{B|x})\} \\ &= \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i S(\rho_{A|i}) + H(\{p_i\}) - H(\{p_i\}) \\ &= \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i \mathcal{D}_A^{v.N.}(|u_i\rangle\langle u_i|). \end{aligned}$$

Also, by Equality (1.2) and (1.3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_A(\rho_{AB}) &= \mathcal{D}_{AE}^{v.N.}(\rho_{AB}\otimes|\epsilon_0\rangle\langle\epsilon_0|) = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i \mathcal{D}_{AE}^{v.N.}(|u_i\rangle\langle u_i|\otimes|\epsilon_0\rangle\langle\epsilon_0|) \\ &= \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i \mathcal{D}_A^{v.N.}(|u_i\rangle\langle u_i|) = \mathcal{D}_A^{v.N.}(\rho_{AB}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it follows from [12] that

$$E_f(\rho_{AB}) = \sum_i p_i E_f(|u_i\rangle\langle u_i|),$$

thus, by Equality (1.3) again, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_A(\rho_{AB}) = \mathcal{D}_A^{v.N.}(\rho_{AB}) = E_f(\rho_{AB} = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i \mathcal{D}_A^{v.N.}(|u_i\rangle\langle u_i|). \tag{2.4}$$

□

This theorem means that if $\sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i|u_i\rangle\langle u_i|$ is a spectral decomposition of ρ_{AB} and

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A(|u_i\rangle\langle u_j|) = 0 \quad \text{where } i \neq j \text{ and } p_i, p_j > 0,$$

then the quantum correlations between the quantum systems $\mathcal{H}_A$ and $\mathcal{H}_B$ can be captured completely by the entanglement measure.

If we replace the condition of pure states in equality (2.2) with mixed states, we have the following conclusion:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\rho_{AB} \in D(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$, $\rho_{AB} = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i\rho_i$ be its an orthogonal decomposition. If for any two $i \neq j \in \Sigma$ and $p_i, p_j > 0$,*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A(\rho_i\rho_j) = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

then

$$\mathcal{D}_A(\rho_{AB}) = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i\mathcal{D}_A(\rho_i). \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. If $\mathrm{Tr}_A(\rho_i\rho_j) = 0$ for any two $i, j \in \Sigma$ and $i \neq j$, then it is easy to show that $\mathrm{Tr}_B(\rho_i)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_B(\rho_j)$ are orthogonal, it implies that there are subspaces $\mathcal{V}_i^A, \mathcal{V}_j^A \subseteq \mathcal{H}_A$ such that $\rho_i \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{V}_i^A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$ and $\mathcal{V}_i^A \subseteq (\mathcal{V}_j^A)^\perp$. If π_i^A is the orthogonal projector onto $\mathcal{V}_i^A$ for any i, and $\pi_m^A = \mathbb{1}_A - \{\sum_{i\in\Sigma}\pi_i^A\}$, we have

$$\pi_i^A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B\ \rho_{AB}\ \pi_i^A \otimes 1_B = p_i\rho_i.$$

Let $\{M_z = A_z^\dagger A_z\}_z$ be the quantum measurement which minimizes the conditional entropy $\sum_z \mu_z S(\rho_{B|z})$. Note that $A_z^\dagger \pi_i^A A_z$ are positive operator for all i, z, and

$$\sum_{i,z} A_z^\dagger \pi_i^A A_z = \sum_z A_z^\dagger (\sum_i \pi_i^A) A_z = \sum_z A_z^\dagger A_z = \mathbb{1}_A,$$

thus, $\{M_z^{(i)} = A_z^\dagger \pi_i^A A_z\}_{i\in\Sigma\cup\{m\},z}$ is also a quantum measurement. By

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A(M_z^{(i)} \otimes \mathbb{1}_B\rho_{AB}) = p_i\,\mathrm{Tr}_A(M_z^{(i)} \otimes \mathbb{1}_B\rho_i)$$

for all i, z, we have

$$\sum_z \mu_z S(\rho_{B|z}) \leq \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i\{\sum_z \mu_z^{(i)} S(\rho_{B|z}^{(i)})\}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\mu_z^{(i)} = \mathrm{Tr}(M_z^{(i)} \otimes \mathbb{1}_B\rho_i)$ and $\rho_{B|z}^{(i)} = (\mu_z^{(i)})^{-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}_A(M_z^{(i)} \otimes \mathbb{1}_B\rho_i)$.

On the other side, it follows from $\mu_z\rho_{B|z} = \sum_i p_i\mu_z^{(i)}\rho_{B|z}^{(i)}$ and the concavity of von Neumann entropy that

$$\sum_z \mu_z S(\rho_{B|z}) \geq \sum_{i\in\Sigma,z} p_i\mu_z^{(i)} S(\rho_{B|z}^{(i)}) = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i\{\sum_z \mu_z^{(i)} S(\rho_{B|z}^{(i)})\}. \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore, by Inequality (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$\min_{\{M_z\}} \sum_z \mu_z S(\rho_{B|z}) = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i \min_{\{M_z^{(i)}\}} \{\sum_z \mu_z^{(i)} S(\rho_{B|z}^{(i)})\}.$$

Moreover, if denote $\rho_{A|i} = \mathrm{Tr}_B(\rho_i)$, then, it follows from $\rho_A = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i\rho_{A|i}$ and property of entropy (1.1) that

$$S(\rho_A) = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i S(\rho_{A|i}) + H(\{p_i\}).$$

Similarly, we also have

$$S(\rho) = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i S(\rho_i) + H(\{p_i\}).$$

Therefore, by the definition of quantum discord, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_A(\rho_{AB}) &= S(\rho_A) - S(\rho) + \min_{\{M_z\}}\{\sum_z \mu_z S(\rho_{B|z})\} \\
&= \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i S(\rho_{A|i}) + H(\{p_i\}) - \{\sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i S(\rho_i) + H(\{p_i\})\} + \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i \min_{\{M_z^{(i)}\}}\{\sum_z \mu_z^{(i)} S(\rho_{B|z}^{(i)})\} \\
&= \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i \big[S(\rho_{A|i}) - S(\rho_i) + \min_{\{M_z^{(i)}\}}\{\sum_z \mu_z^{(i)} S(\rho_{B|z}^{(i)})\}\big] \\
&= \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i \mathcal{D}_A(\rho_i).
\end{aligned}$$

□

3 A tripartite system

Let $\rho_{AB} \in \mathsf{D}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$. Taking a pure state $|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|_{ABC} \in \mathsf{D}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_C)$ such that

$$\rho_{AB} = \mathrm{Tr}_C(|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|_{ABC}),$$

$\rho_{AB} = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i |u_i\rangle\langle u_i|$ is the spectral decomposition of ρ_{AB}. Now, we will prove that if ρ_{AB} satisfies the condition of Theorem 2.2, then by the famous necessary and sufficient condition of zero discord in [13], $\mathcal{H}_B$ and $\mathcal{H}_C$ is not entangled and even have vanishing discord by the local measurements on the system $\mathcal{H}_C$.

In fact, let

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A(|u_i\rangle\langle u_j|) = 0 \quad \text{for any two } i \neq j \text{ and } p_i, p_j > 0,$$

$$|\Psi\rangle_{ABC} = \sum_{i\in\Sigma} \sqrt{p_i} |u_i\rangle |v_i\rangle$$

be the Schmidt decomposition of $|\Psi\rangle_{ABC}$. It follows from the condition that for any i, we have

$$|u_i\rangle = \sum_{j\in\Sigma_i} \sqrt{q_j^{(i)}} |\phi_j^{(i)}\rangle |\varphi_j^{(i)}\rangle,$$

where $\{|\phi_j^{(i)}\rangle\}$ and $\{|\varphi_j^{(i)}\rangle\}$ are orthonomal families of $\mathcal{H}_A$ and $\mathcal{H}_B$ respectively, and $\langle \phi_j^{(i)} | \phi_l^{(k)}\rangle = 0$ for $i,k \in \Sigma, i \neq k$ and $j \in \Sigma_i,\ l \in \Sigma_k$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{BC} &= \mathrm{Tr}_A(|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|_{ABC}) \\
&= \sum_{k\in\Sigma}\sum_{l\in\Sigma_k} \langle \phi_l^{(k)}|(\sum_{i\in\Sigma}\sum_{j\in\Sigma_i} \sqrt{p_i q_j^{(i)}} |\phi_j^{(i)}\rangle|\varphi_j^{(i)}\rangle|v_i\rangle)(\sum_{i\in\Sigma}\sum_{j\in\Sigma_i} \sqrt{p_i q_j^{(i)}} |\phi_j^{(i)}\rangle|\varphi_j^{(i)}\rangle|v_i\rangle)^* |\phi_l^{(k)}\rangle \\
&= \sum_{i\in\Sigma} p_i \Big(\sum_{j\in\Sigma_i} q_j^{(i)} |\varphi_j^{(i)}\rangle\langle\varphi_j^{(i)}|\Big) \otimes |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, ρ_{BC} is a separable state, and note that $\langle v_i|v_k\rangle = 0$ for all $i \neq k \in \Sigma$, we have $\mathcal{D}_C(\rho_{BC}) = 0$. The conclusion is proved.

Acknowledgement

This project is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11171301, 11571307) and by the Doctoral Programs Foundation of the Ministry of Education of China (J20130061).

References

[1] B. E. Davies, *Quantum Theory of Open Sistems*. New York : Academic (1976).

[2] A. S. Holevo, *Probabilistic and Statistical Aspects of Quantum Theory*. Amsterdam : North-Holland (1982).

[3] K. Kraus, *States, Effects and Operations : Fundamental Notions of Quantum Theory*. Berlin : Springer (1983).

[4] D. Spehner, *Quantum Correlations and Distinguishability of Quantum States*. J. Math. Phys. **55**, 075211 (2014).

[5] J. Watrous, *Theory of Quantum Information*. Institute for Quantum Computing, University of Waterloo (2008).

[6] C. E. Shannon, *A Mathematical Theory of Communication*. Bell Syst. Tech. J. **27**, 379-423 and 623-656 (1948).

[7] H. Ollivier and W. H. Zurek, *Quantum Discord: A Measure of the Quantumness of Correlations*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 017901 (2001).

[8] L. Henderson and V. Vedral, *Classical, Quantum and Total Correlations*. J. Phys. A**34**, 6899-6905 (2001).

[9] V. Vedral, *Classical Correlations and Entanglement in Quantum Measurements*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 050401 (2003).

[10] C. H. Bennett, D. P. Di Vincenzo, J. A. Smolin and W. K. Wootters, *Mixed State Entanglement and Quantum Error Correction*. Phys. Rev. A**54**, 3824 (1996).

[11] W. K. Wootters, *Entanglement of Formation of an Arbitrary State of Two Qubits*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2245 (1998).

[12] P. Horodecki, R. Horodecki and M. Horodecki. *Entanglement and thermodynamical analogies*. Acta Phys. Slov. **48**, 141 (1998).

[13] B. Dakíc, V. Vedral, and Č. Brukner, *Necessary and Sufficient Condition for Nonzero Quantum Discord*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 190502 (2010).